UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,294	11/13/2003	Dimitri T. Azar	00633-041001	8876
26161 7590 03/15/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MC	NTHS	03/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,294	AZAR, DIMITRI T.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
,	is action is non-final.	resocution as to the marits is
 Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under 		
Disposition of Claims	zx parto quayro, 1000 0.27 11,	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,8-11,13-18 and 20-22 is/are pendidal 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,8-11,13-17 and 20-22 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	from consideration. red.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	f are: a) $ ot\!\!$ accepted or b) $ ot\!\!$ objee drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/712,294 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 1-9-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Regarding claim 1, Applicant contends Kern lack a memory element having wavefront data stored therein to control the index of refraction profile so as to reduce high-order aberrations. Examiner disagrees because the Kern device comprises a memory element storing instructions for controlling the lens (lines 20-23 of col. 3), and Kern disclose controlling discrete points (lines 10-24 of col. 4) and creating an aspheric lens effect (lines 45-47 of col. 5) which reduce high-order, or spherical, aberration.
- 3. Regarding claims 8 and 13, Applicant's arguments are persuasive. However a new ground of rejection is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,9-11,15-17,21,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kern USPN 4601545.
- 3. Kern disclose in c3:9-49, c4:10-24, c5:14-25, c5:37-65, c6:12-51 an intraocular lens comprising variable refraction optical element 52, memory element (RAM or ROM

Application/Control Number: 10/712,294

Art Unit: 3738

included in CPU), actuators 71/62/64/73/75 (electrode matrix), controller 54/58 (CPU or gate). The memory element stores instructions for controlling the lens (c3:20-23), and Kern disclose controlling index of refraction at discrete points (c4:10-24) and creating an aspheric lens effect (c5:45-47) which reduce high-order, or spherical, aberration.

Regarding claim 10, Figure 1 and 5D show a plurality of individually addressable electrodes controlled by parallel signals.

Regarding claims 15-17, the limitations recited are of intended use (see MPEP 2114) and do not add structural limitations to the optical element. The Kern prosthesis is capable of use in any chamber or lens bag of any patient.

Regarding claim 22, the memory element may be rewritable RAM such that the optical element is modifiable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kern USPN 4601545 as applied to claims 1,9-11,15-17,21-22 above, and further in view of Rizzo, III USPN 5800530.

Kern meet the structural limitations of claims 8,13, and 14 as described above but lack the express written disclosure of including a rangefinder. Rizzo, III teach in

Application/Control Number: 10/712,294

1,0011101110111001. 10,112,2

Art Unit: 3738

figure 1, c2:15-56, and c3:40-49 an intraocular lens comprising variable focus lens controlled by an actuator and CPU which receive signals from a rangefinder 24 in order to provide accurate distance estimates to determine proper accommodation levels.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the intraocular lens system disclosed by Kern '545 to include a rangefinder in communication with a CPU, as taught by Rizzo, III '530, in order provide accurate distance estimates to determine proper accommodation levels.

6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kern USPN 4601545 as applied to claims 1,9-11,15-17,21-22 above, and further in view of Sandsted et al. USPN 6749632.

It is noted that claim 20 recites a product by process limitation (see MPEP 2113). However, in view of the structure implied by a unique measurement, Kern lack the express written disclosure of the instructions stored in the memory device being based on wavefront measurement performed on a patient. Sandsted et al. teach, in c4:23-67, adjusting an intraocular lens profile in accordance with wavefront data measured on a patient in order to accurately correct for high-order aberrations specific to said patient.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the intraocular lens system disclosed by Kern '545 to include data based on a measurement performed on a patient, as taught by Sandsted et al. '632, in order to accurately correct for high-order aberrations specific to said patient.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 571-272-4753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,294 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William H. Matthews (Howie)

Examiner Art Unit 3738